

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/584,920 | 12/28/2006 | Philip J. Simpson | ICUMM.376A | 1318 | |
| 29995 7590 06/18/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 | | | BOSWORT | BOSWORTH, KAMI A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3767 | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | OGUS POOD | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

10/584.920 SIMPSON ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit

KAMI A. BOSWORTH 3767

Applicant(s)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KAMI A. BOSWORTH.

(3)PAUL CONOVER.

(2) ELIZABETH MOULTON.

(4)____.

Application No.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Phillips (PG PUB 2004/0124389).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The amendments to independent claim 1 were discussed. It was agreed that the current amendment appears to overcome the previous rejection by Phillips. However, it was suggested that the applicant specify that the channel is housed inside the valve member in order to further distinguish itself from the Phillips reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/K A B /

Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Kevin C Sirmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767